

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,749	04/14/2005	James Martin	P/63564	8352
156 Kirschstein Is:	7590 04/22/200 rael, Schiffmiller & Pie	EXAM	EXAMINER	
425 FIFTH AVENUE 5TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016-2223			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/508,749	MARTIN, JAMES	
Examiner	Art Unit	
QUAN-ZHEN WANG	2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

S. Patent and Trademark Office					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Notice   PTO-955008   Paper Not/Mail Date 222009	Interview Summary (PTO-413)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date.       Si      Notice of Informal Patent As Flication.       Other				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified copies not received.				
application from the International Bureau (PC	,				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have been received in this National Stage				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li></ol>	e been received in Application No				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	e been received.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted</li> </ul>	or bV objected to by the Everyiner				
Application Papers					
Application Danors					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance ex	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action	-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Februar	ry 2009.				
Status					
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause in Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749

Art Unit: 2613

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
  Chang et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 203/0117678 A1) in view of Smith
  et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0020977 A1) and further in view of
  Beshai et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,570,872 B1).

Regarding claim 9, Chang discloses a communications system (fig. 2) comprising:

a communications network (fig. 2, network 200; figs. 3-5) comprising network nodes (fig. 2, nodes 121, 123, 124, 125) and network links between the network nodes (fig. 2, the links between the nodes);

and a network management system (fig. 4, combination of the NC&M 220 and module 410) for allocating connections to the network, the connections utilizing the network nodes and the network links;

in respect of each said connection, there being a number of possible ways to implement the connection in the network (fig. 2, alternative path and alternative wavelength):

Art Unit: 2613

the network management system including a network state store which maintains a continuously updated record of current network usage (fig. 2, NC&M 220; paragraph 0119);

the network management system, when allocating the connection to the network, selecting one of the number of possible ways to implement the connection;

the network management system, accepting switching request signals and determines a different one of the number of possible ways to implement the connection (see, for example, paragraph 0110);

the reconfiguration by the network management system being constrained to a set of possible reconfigurations which is a subset of the set of all possible reconfigurations of connections on the network (inherent), said subset being defined by those reconfigurations that can be carried out with no interruption (fig. 2, alternate path and alternate wavelength).

Chang differs from the claimed invention in that Chang does not specifically disclose that the network management storing information on network which current connections are reconfigurable and which are not. However, it is well known in the art to include unreconfigurable connections in a network. For example, Smith discloses to include unreconfigurable connections in a network (paragraph 0079, "the first link between node A and the first intermediate node along the path is <u>fixed</u>") and the reconfiguration by the network management system is inherently constrained to reconfiguration of only the reconfigurable connections (paragraph 0079). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the

Art Unit: 2613

invention was made to configure the system of Chang to include connections that are reconfigurable and connections that are not reconfigurable and to store the information in the network management system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to include pre-provisioned transponders in some of the nodes in the network.

The modified system of Chang and Smith differs from the claimed invention in that Chang and Smith do not specifically disclose to reconfigure existing connections. However, reconfiguring an existing connection to free a connection used by the existing connection is well known in the art. For example, Beshai from the same filed of endeavor discloses to reconfigure an existing connection to free a connection used by the existing connection (column 11, lines 35-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate the concept of reconfiguring an existing connection of Beshai in the modified system of Chang and Smith. The motivation for doing so would have been to free a path used by the existing connection that to facilitate the formation of new connections (Beshai: column 11, lines 35-43).

Regarding claims 10 and 16, the modified system of Chang, Smith, and Beshai further includes unreconfigurable connections in a network (Smith: paragraph 0079, "the first link between node A and the first intermediate node along the path is <u>fixed</u>") and the reconfiguration by the network management system is inherently constrained to reconfiguration of only the reconfigurable connections (paragraph 0079).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Change further discloses that the network management system reconfigures a reconfigurable connection by changing one of a wavelength on which the connection is made (fig. 2, alternative wavelength) and a route taken by the connection (fig. 2, alternative path).

Regarding claim 13, Chang further discloses that the reconfiguration by the network management system is constrained such that each existing connection on the network is reconfigured, but not every time in all ways possible for that connection (paragraph 0110).

Regarding claim 14, Chang further discloses that each connection comprises a main and a standby path, and the reconfiguration by the network management system is constrained in that only the standby path, and not the main path, of the connection is changed (paragraph 0113. Note that the NC&M computes and updating the routing tables based on the network parameters, including the sate of communication lines).

Regarding claim 15, Chang further discloses that when first implementing the connection on the network, it is possible to choose both a route the connection will take and a wavelength on which the connection will be made, the reconfiguration by the network management system being constrained in that only one of the route and the wavelength of the connection is changed, not both (fig. 2).

# Response to Arguments

 Applicant's other arguments filed on 2/20/2009 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 9, Applicant argues, "A person skilled in the art would interpret this as a circuit switched network, i.e., a network in which a connection (or a circuit) is set-up between nodes as if the nodes were physically connected. Once a connection like this is established between points A and B, all data (or voice) in one session is transferred between the points A and B via the same connection (circuit), i.e., all the data go along the same way from the points A to B, and all experience the same delay." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Even though the claim des recite the limitation of " ... a communications network comprising network nodes and network links between the network nodes; and a network management system for allocating connections to the network, the connections utilizing the network nodes and network links;...". However, the cited limitation does not necessarily make one ordinary skilled in the art concludes that the system is a circuit switched network. In fact, nowhere does the disclosure disclose that the network is a circuit switched network. Furthermore, in accordance with MPEP, "USPTO personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997)" (MPEP §2106, emphasis added). Chang clearly and explicitly discloses that the network nodes in the system are *linked* by the network links, as shown in, for example, figs. 2 and 5. Chang explicitly discloses, for example,

#### In the Abstract:

"An optical signaling header technique applicable to optical networks wherein packet routing information is embedded in the same channel or wavelength as the data payload so that both the header and data payload propagate through network elements with the same path and the associated delays. The technique effects survivability and security of

Art Unit: 2613

the optical networks by encompassing conventional electronic security with an optical security layer by generating replicated versions of the input data payload at the input node, and the transmission of each of the replicated versions over a corresponding one of the plurality of links. Moreover, each of the links is composed of multiple wavelengths to propagate optical signals or optical packets, and each of the replicated versions of the data payload may be propagated over a selected one of the wavelengths in each corresponding one of the plurality of links." (Emphasis added)

In paragraph 0019:

"[0119] Thus, NC&M 220 has stored at any instant the global information necessary to formulate routes to carry the incoming packet traffic by the network elements. Accordingly, periodically NC&M 220 determines the routing information in the form of, for example, global routing tables, and downloads the global routing tables to each of the elements using supervisory channels 221, 222, . . . . The global routing tables configure the ports of the network elements to create certain communication links. For example, NC&M 220 may determine, based upon traffic demand and statistics, that a fiber optic link from New York City to Los Angeles (network elements 501 and 504, respectively) is presently required, and the link will be composed, in series, of: W1 coupling port 511 of element 501 to port 513 in network element 502; W1 coupling port 514 of element 502 to port 515 of element 503; and W2 coupling port 516 of element 503 to port 517 of element 504. Then, input packet 520 incoming to network element 501 (New York City) and having a destination of network element 504 (Los Angeles) is immediately routed over this established link. At network element 504, the propagated packet is delivered as output packet 521 via client interface port 518."

There is no doubts that the "link" of Chang reads on the claimed "link", at least with its broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the disclosure.

Applicant argues, "Chang discloses a network management system for establishing links, but fails to disclose a network management system for allocating connections, which is required by claim 9". However, Chang specifically and explicitly discloses, for example,

"[0104] Now with reference to FIG. 2, which is the same as FIG. 2 of Chang, optical layer 120 of FIG. 1 is shown in more detail including the

Art Unit: 2613

basic technique for setting up a fast connection in optical network 200. composed of network elements 121-125 (Node 1-Node 5); the setup uses optical signaling header 210 for the accompanying data payload 211. This technique combines the advantages of circuit-switched based WDM and packet-switched based IP technologies. Signaling information is added in the form of an optical signal header 210 which is carried in-band within each wavelength in the multi-wavelength transport environment. Optical signaling header 210, composed of a label containing routing and control information such as the source, destination, priority, and the length of the packet, propagates through optical network 200 preceding data payload 211. Each WDM network element 121-125 senses optical signaling header 210, looks-up a connection table (discussed later), and takes necessary steps such as cross-connections, add, drop, or drop-andcontinue. The connection table is constantly updated by continuous communication between NC&M 220 and WDM network elements 121-125. Data payload 211, which follows optical signaling header 210, is routed through a path in each network element (discussed later) as established by the connection. With the arrangement of FIG. 2, there is no need to manage the time delay between optical signaling header 210 and data payload 211, shown by T in FIG. 2, because each network element provides the optical delay needed for the short time required for connection set-up within each network element via delay of an interposed fiber. Moreover, the format and protocol of the data payload is independent of that of the header, that is, for a given network whereas the format and protocol of the header are pre-determined, the format and the protocol of the data payload can be the same as or different from those of the header."

From the examples shown above, Chang clearly and undoubtedly teaches for allocating connections.

Applicant argues, "... that a link and a connection are two different things". However, in accordance with MPEP, "USPTO personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997)" (MPEP §2106, emphasis added). According to The American Heritage College Dictionary, connection means ... "2. One that connects, a link." According to

Art Unit: 2613

MPEP, "inventor may define specific terms used to describe invention, but must do so "with reasonable clarity, deliberateness, and precision" (MPEP 2111.01). Without clearly, specifically, explicitly, and precisely providing Applicant's own definition, "the words of a claim must be given their 'plain meanings'".

For the above reasons, the prior art references reads on the claim with it broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the disclosure. The rejection of claim 9 still stands. For the same reasons, the rejections of claims 10-16 still stand.

### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749 Page 10

Art Unit: 2613

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lu et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0191247 A1) disclose a WDM network has a restoration process to re-route wavelengths.

Halgren et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0052520 A1) disclose a WDM network having path protection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)
272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749 Page 11

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

4/18/2009 /Quan-Zhen Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613